Country overview

Malnutrition burden

Suriname is off course to meet the global targets for anaemia in women of reproductive age, low birth weight, male diabetes, female diabetes, male obesity, and female obesity. There is insufficient target data to assess Suriname’s progress for under-five overweight, under-five stunting, under-five wasting, and infant exclusive breastfeeding.

Although it performs well against other developing countries, Suriname still experiences a malnutrition burden among its under-five population. As of 2010, the national prevalence of under-five overweight is 4%, which has remained constant since 2006. The national prevalence of under-five stunting is 8.8%, which is significantly less than the developing country average of 25%. Suriname’s under-five wasting prevalence of 5.8% is also less than the developing country average of 8.9%.

In Suriname, 2.8% of infants under 6 months are exclusively breastfed, this is well below the South America average of 57.3%. Suriname’s 2015 low birth weight prevalence of 14.7% has decreased slightly from 16% in 2000.

Suriname’s adult population also face a malnutrition burden. 24.1% of women of reproductive age have anaemia, and 13.5% of adult women have diabetes, compared to 10.9% of men. Meanwhile, 33.7% of women and 18.9% of men have obesity.


Notes: Data on the adult indicators are based on modelled estimates.

Progress against global nutrition targets 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Notes: WRA = Women of a reproductive age; NA = not applicable. The methodologies for tracking differ between targets. Data on the adult indicators are based on modelled estimates.
Child (under-five) nutrition status

Coexistence of wasting, stunting and overweight


Notes: Percentage of children under-five years of age who experience different and overlapping forms of malnutrition.

Low birth weight

No data


Prevalence of under-five stunting

Stunting at subnational level

Stunting at 5km level


Notes: 5 km level map shows prevalence at the 5 x 5-km resolution. Prevalence is the 2017 estimated prevalence, based on a model using a range of surveys between 1998-2018. See source paper for full methods.
Child (under-five) nutrition status over time
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Infant and young child feeding over time

Exclusive breastfeeding by sex

Continued breastfeeding at 1 year by sex

Minimum acceptable diet by sex

Intro. to solid, semi-solid, soft foods by sex
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Exclusive breastfeeding by income
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Intro. to solid, semi-solid, soft foods by income

Infant and young child feeding

Child and adolescent (aged 5-19) nutrition status

Underweight by sex

Overweight by sex

Obesity by sex

Sources: NCD Risk Factor Collaboration.
Adult nutrition status

Diabetes by sex
Sources: NCD Risk Factor Collaboration.

Overweight by sex

Obesity by sex

Raised blood pressure by sex
Sources: NCD Risk Factor Collaboration.

Anaemia in WRA
Source: WHO Global Health Observatory.
Notes: WRA = women of reproductive age.

Sodium intake (grams per day)
Source: Global Burden of Disease, the Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation.

Notes: WRA = women of reproductive age.
Dietary needs

Consumption of food groups and components, 2016

Sources: Global Burden of Disease, the Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation.

Notes: TMREL = theoretical minimum risk of exposure level. Men and women aged 25 and older.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Food Group</th>
<th>Midpoint of TMREL (g)</th>
<th>200% of TMREL (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calcium</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruit</td>
<td>250</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legumes</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk</td>
<td>435</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuts and seeds</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omega 3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyunsaturated fat</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processed meat</td>
<td>2g</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red meat</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturated fat</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium</td>
<td>2g</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugar-sweetened beverages</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trans fat</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetables</td>
<td>360g</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole grain</td>
<td>125g</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Notes: NA = not applicable. Data is compiled using STATcompiler and taken from country Demographic and Health Surveys for 2005-2018.
Determinants

Undernourishment

Source: FAOSTAT 2018.

Food supply

Source: FAOSTAT 2018.

Gender-related determinants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Early childbearing births by age 18 (%)</th>
<th>No data</th>
<th>No data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender Inequality Index (score)</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender Inequality Index (country rank)</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *0 = low inequality, 1 = high inequality.

Female secondary education enrolment (net, % population)


Drinking water coverage (% population)


Sanitation coverage (% population)

Resources, policies and targets

Development assistance

- Basic nutrition ODA received
- % of total ODA

Sources: Development Initiatives based on OECD Development Assistance Committee (DAC) Creditor Reporting System (CRS).

Notes: ODA = official development assistance. Amounts based on gross ODA disbursements, constant 2017 prices. Figure includes ODA grants and loans, but excludes other official flows and private grants.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mandatory legislation for salt iodisation</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugar-sweetened beverage tax</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food-based dietary guidelines</td>
<td>No data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy to reduce salt consumption</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operational policy, strategy or action plan to reduce unhealthy diet related to NCDs</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operational, multisectoral national NCD policy, strategy or action plan</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operational policy, strategy or action plan for diabetes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy to reduce the impact on children of marketing of foods and beverages high in saturated fats, trans-fatty acids, free sugars or salt</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy to limit saturated fatty acids and virtually eliminate industrially produced trans-fats</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Notes: NA = not applicable; NCD = non-communicable disease.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stunting</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaemia</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low birth weight</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child overweight</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exclusive breastfeeding</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wasting</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt intake</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight adults and adolescents</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multisectoral comprehensive nutrition plan</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Economics and demography

Poverty rates (%) and GDP (PPP$)

Notes: PPP = purchasing power parity.

Under-five mortality (per 1,000 live births)

Source: UN Inter-agency Group for Child Mortality Estimation 2018.

Government revenues ($m)

Sources: IMF Article IV staff reports (country specific) and IMF World Economic Outlook Database (April 2019).

Income inequality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gini index score</th>
<th>Gini index rank</th>
<th>Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No data</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>No data</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: World Bank 2019.
Notes: 1 0 = perfect equality, 100 = perfect inequality. 2 Countries are ranked from most equal (1) to most unequal (159).

Population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population (thousands)</th>
<th>576</th>
<th>2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Under-five population (thousands)</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural (%)</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;65 years (thousands)</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: World Bank 2019, UN Population Division Department of Economic and Social Affairs 2019.

Population density of health workers per 1,000 people

| Physicians | 0.82 | 2004 |
| NURSES AND MIDWIVES | 5.27 | 2004 |
| COMMUNITY HEALTH WORKERS | No data | No data |

Sources: WHO’s Global Health Workforce Statistics, OECD, supplemented by country data.